

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,606	12/29/2003	Paul H. Bouchier	10001728-3	1340
7590 01/25/2007 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400		EXAMINER		
			PHAN, RAYMOND NGAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1011 0011111111111111111111111111111111			-2111	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
·	10/750,606 BOUCHIER ET AL.		AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Raymond Phan	2111		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONO te, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this (BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	November 2006.			
,	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,11-17,19-27 and 30-32</u> is/are pe	ending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8,11-17,19-27 and 30-32</u> is/ar	e rejected.			
7) Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in A	pplication No		
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the pri</li></ol>	ority documents have been	received in this Nationa	l Stage	
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	et of the certified copies not	received.		
Attachment(s)	منتخل المستلمان الم	Summary (PTO-413)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Ii 6)  Other:	nformal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/750,606 Page 2

Art Unit: 2111

#### Part III DETAILED ACTION

### Notice to Applicant(s)

- 1. This action is responsive to the following communications: the remarks filed on November 14, 2006.
- 2. This application has been examined. Claims 1-8, 11-17, 19-27, 30-32 are pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 11-17, 19-27, 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Okazawa et al. (US No. 6,378,021) in view of Prager et al. (US No. 5,838,918).

In regard to claims 1, 15, 27, Okazawa et al. disclose the multi-processor computer system comprising a plurality of processor boards with each board having at least one processor (see col. 4, lines 50-59); a switch processor that is connected to each of the processor boards (see col. 5, lines 8-40); wherein each partition includes at least one processor boards and that switch processor manages configuration of the partitions (see col. 6, lines 1-50); wherein at least one partition 11 has at least two cell boards (i.e. PBx8) (see figure 1, col. 4, lines 50-67). But Okazawa et al. do not specifically disclose the use of data that describes a configuration for the computer system and wherein service processor and each of the cell board maintain a copy of the data. However Prager et al. disclose the use of data that describes a configuration for each system 105 in the distributed

Application/Control Number: 10/750,606

Art Unit: 2111

environment (see figure 4, col. 6, lines 20-48), wherein each of the cell board maintains a copy of the data (see col. 15, lines 23-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Prager et al. into the teachings of Okazawa et al. because it would increase the ease and efficiency of the configuration management task in large, complex network of heterogeneous systems.

In regard to claims 2, 16, Okazawa et al. disclose each partition is running an operating system that is independent of the other partitions (see col. 6, lines 15-23).

In regard to claims 3, 17, Okazawa et al. disclose each processor board is capable of being reassigned to another partition while the computer system is online (see col. 2, lines 61-65).

In regard to claims 5, 19, Okazawa et al. disclose the processor board may be replaced while the computer system is on-line (see col. 6, lines 23-50).

In regard to claims 6, 20, Okazawa et al. disclose the switch processor can command the operation of the processor boards (see col. 6, line 66 through col. 7, line 11).

In regard to claims 7, 21, Okazawa et al. disclose the switch processor can command the operation of the partitions (see col. 6, line 66 through col. 7, line 11).

In regard to claims 8, 22, Okazawa et al. disclose the switch processor can reset a partition (see col. 7, lines 2-11).

In regard to claim 23, even though Okazawa et al. or et al. do not specifically disclose the service processor can be replace while the system is online, however one skilled in the art would have known to have the service

Application/Control Number: 10/750,606

Art Unit: 2111

processor to replace while the system is online to replace the faulty of service processor.

In regard to claims 11, 24, Prager et. al. disclose wherein the configuration file managed by central management (see col. 15, lines 23-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Prager et al. into the teachings of Okazawa et al. because it would increase the ease and efficiency of the configuration management task in large, complex network of heterogeneous systems.

In regard to claims 12, 25, Prager et al. disclose the modified configuration of the configuration file and re-distribute the modified configuration to each of the partitions (see col. 15, lines 23-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Prager et al. into the teachings of Okazawa et al. because it would increase the ease and efficiency of the configuration management task in large, complex network of heterogeneous systems.

In regard to claim 13, Okazawa et al. disclose a microcontroller that handle the communication between the switch process and the processor board (see col. 5, lines 7-20).

In regard to claims 14, 26, Okazawa et al. disclose each partitioin configuring itself after switching (see col. 3, lines 36-50).

In regard to claims 30-32, Okazawa et al. disclose multiple partitions, wherein each partition has its hardware isolated from the remaining partitions (see col. 6, lines 23-5).

Art Unit: 2111

#### Allowable Subject Matter.

5. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Argument

6. Applicant's arguments, see pages 6-10, filed on June 6, 2006 with respect to the rejection of claims 1-3, 5-8, 12-17, 19-27, 30-32 under 35USC103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Prager et al.

#### Conclusion

- 7. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 11-17, 19-27, 30-32 are rejected. Claim 4 is objected.
- 8. The prior arts made of record and not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Mehta et al. (US No. 6,065,139) disclose a method and system for surveillance of computer system operations.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Raymond Phan, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3630. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30AM- 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (571) 272-3632 or via e-mail addressed to mark.rinehart@uspto.gov. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [raymond.phan@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more

Application/Control Number: 10/750,606

Art Unit: 2111

Page 6

information about the PAIR system, see hop://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 central telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

(M

Raymond Phan Jan 16, 2007 Gobal C. Loy

GOPAL C. RAY

PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 2800